

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/822,352	04/12/2004	Jason P. Cleveland	VII / 18DV	3070
759	90 10/19/2004		EXAM	INER
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, L.L.P.			PYO, KEVIN K	
2700 Carew Tov 441 Vine St.	wer		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Cincinnati, OH	45202		2878	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/822,352	CLEVELAND ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kevin Pyo	2878				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sany reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in. a reply within the statutory minimum of thir eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	·	• •	S			
Disposition of Claims						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and sub	ndrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers	•					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 April 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	•		d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) ☐ Interview S Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/1/04.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Double Patenting

Page 2

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-19 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,720,551. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 3. Claims 23-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-18 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,410,907. This is a double patenting rejection.

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "power supply" of claims 21 and 22 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure

Art Unit: 2878

must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 1, line 3, "allowed" should be changed to -- U.S. Pat. No. 6,720,551--.

On page 10, line 22, "discussedabove" should be changed to --discussed above--.

On page 12, line 10, "3613" should be changed to --36B--.

On page 16, line 7, "34 AD" should be changed to --34 A-D--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. Claim 23 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 23, line 5, "teh" should be changed to -the--..

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,352 Page 4

Art Unit: 2878

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakano et al (5,656,769).

Regarding claim 20, Nakono et al shows in Fig. 1B the following elements of applicant's claim: a) a frame (6) having first (the surface portion of the frame 6 to where the hinge 7b is connected) and second mounting surfaces (the surface portion of the frame 6 to where the hinge 7a is connected); b) at least two actuators (1 and 2) having first and second ends (the actuators 1 and 2 have first and second ends), wherein each of the actuators is extendable and retractable, and the first and second ends of each actuator is attached to the corresponding first and second mounting surfaces of the frame respectively (the first end of the actuator 1 is attached to the surface portion of the frame 6 via the hinge 7a, and the second end of the actuator 2 is attached to the surface portion of the frame 6 via the hinge 7b); c) a center translation platform (8) attached between the first and second ends of the actuators (the center translation platform 8 is attached between the first end of the actuator 2 and the second end of the actuator 1); and d) a plurality of flexures (7a-7c) arranged to allow transnational movement of the center translation platform (the flexures 7a-7c allow the platform 8 to move X-Y plane) when the actuators extend and retract during scanning operation.

Regarding claims 21 and 22, the limitations therein are disclosed in co.4, lines 46-49.

Application/Control Number: 10/822,352 Page 5

Art Unit: 2878

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Ando et al (6,617,762) is cited for disclosing a scanning unit for moving an object.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Pyo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2445. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (with flexible hour), First Mon. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David P. Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Pyo

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2878

Pkk 10/16/04